Astronomical and Astrophysical Transactions (*AApTr*), 2017, Vol. 30, Issue 1, pp. 55–62,
ISSN 1055-6796, Photocopying permitted by license only, ©Cambridge Scientific Publishers

Precise determination of Z–Z' mixing at the CERN LHC

A.A. Pankov*, A.V. Tsytrinov

Abdus Salam ICTP Affiliated Centre at Gomel State Technical University, 48 October Ave., Gomel, 246746 Republic of Belarus

Received 15 December, 2015

We discuss the expected sensitivity to Z' boson effects in the W boson pair production process at the LHC. The W boson pair production process allows one to place stringent constraints on the Z–Z' mixing angle. Specifically, we find that the present LHC bounds on the mixing angle obtained at the LHC energy of 8 TeV and integrated luminosity of 20 fb^{-1} are of the order of a few per mil, which is of the same order as those derived from the electroweak data. Further improvement on the constraining of this mixing can be achieved from the analysis of data at the LHC with nominal energy and luminosity, 14 TeV and 100 fb^{-1}.

Keywords: Elementary particles, scattering, physical data and processes

1 Introduction

Many New Physics (NP) scenarios beyond the Standard Model (SM) (Beringer et al., 2012), including superstring and left–right-symmetric models, predict the existence of new neutral gauge bosons, which might be light enough to be accessible at current and/or future colliders (Langacker, 2009).

The search for these Z' particles is an important aspect of the experimental physics program of current and future high-energy colliders. Present limits from direct production at the LHC and virtual effects at LEP, through interference or mixing with the Z boson, imply that new Z' bosons are rather heavy and mix very little with the Z boson. Depending on the considered theoretical model, Z' masses of the order of 2.5–3.0 TeV (Chatrchyan et al., 2013; Aad et al., 2012) and Z–Z' mixing angles at the level of a few per mil are excluded (Andreev and Pankov, 2012; Aaltonen et al., 2010). The size of the mixing angle is strongly constrained by very high precision Z-pole experiments at LEP and the SLC (Schael et al., 2006). They contain measurements from the Z line shape, from the leptonic branching ratios normalized to the total hadronic Z decay width and from leptonic forward–backward asymmetries.

*Email: pankov@ictp.it

A Z' boson, if lighter than about 5 TeV, could be discovered at the LHC (Godfrey and Martin, 2013; Dittmar et al., 2004) with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV in the Drell–Yan process

$$pp \to Z' \to \ell^+\ell^- + X \tag{1}$$

with $\ell = e, \mu$. The future e^+e^- International linear collider (ILC) with high c.m. energies and longitudinally polarized beams could indicate the existence of Z' bosons via its interference effects in fermion pair production processes, with masses up to about $6 \times \sqrt{s}$ while Z–Z' mixing will be constrained down to $\sim 10^{-4}$–10^{-3} in the process $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$ (Andreev et al., 2012).

After the discovery of a Z' boson at the LHC via the process (1), some diagnostics of its couplings and Z–Z' mixing needs to be done in order to identify the correct theoretical framework. In this paper we study the potential of the LHC to discover Z–Z' mixing effects in the process

$$pp \to W^+W^- + X \tag{2}$$

and compare it with that expected at the ILC.

The $W^\pm$ boson pair production process (2) is rather important for studying the electroweak gauge symmetry at the LHC. Properties of the weak gauge bosons are closely related to electroweak symmetry breaking and the structure of the gauge sector in general. In addition, the diboson decay modes of Z' directly probe the gauge coupling strength between the new and the standard-model gauge bosons. The coupling strength strongly influences the decay branching ratios and the natural widths of the new gauge bosons. Thus, detailed examination of the process (2) will both test the gauge sector of the SM with the highest accuracy and throw light on NP that may appear beyond the SM.

Direct searches for a heavy WW resonance have been performed by the CDF and D0 collaborations at the Tevatron. The D0 collaboration explored diboson resonant production using the $\ell\nu\ell'\nu'$ and $\ell\nu jj$ final states (Abazov et al., 2011). The CDF collaboration also searched for resonant WW production in the $e\nu jj$ final state, resulting in a lower limit on the mass of an RS graviton, Z' and W' bosons (Aaltonen et al., 2010).

The direct WW resonance search by the ATLAS Collaboration using $l\nu l'\nu'$ final-state events in 4.7 fb^{-1} pp collision data at the collider energy of 7 TeV set mass limits on such resonances (Aad et al., 2012, 2013). Also, the $l\nu jj$ final state allows one to reconstruct the invariant mass of the system, under certain assumptions on the neutrino momentum from a W boson decay.

Here, we examine the feasibility of observing a Z' boson in the $W^\pm$ pair production process at the LHC, which in contrast to the Drell–Yan process (1) is not the principal discovery channel, but can help to understand the origin of new gauge bosons.

2 Z' models

There are many theoretical models which predict a Z' with mass possibly in the TeV range. Popular classes of models are represented by E_6-motivated models, the Left–Right Symmetric Model (LR), the Z' in an 'alternative' left–right scenario and

the Sequential Standard Model (SSM), which has a heavier boson with couplings like those of the SM Z. Searching for Z' in the above models has been widely studied in the literature (Langacker, 2009) and applied at LEP2, the Tevatron and the LHC. For the notation we refer to Andreev et al. (2012), where also a brief description can be found. The different models considered are: (i) Models related to the breaking of E_6, parametrized by a parameter β, familiar cases are the Z'_χ, Z'_ψ, Z'_η and Z'_I models; (ii) Left–right models originating from the breaking down of an $SO(10)$ grand-unification symmetry leading to a $Z'_{\rm LR}$; (iii) The sequential $Z'_{\rm SSM}$, which has couplings to fermions being the same as those of the SM Z.

The mass-squared matrix of the Z and Z' can have non-diagonal entries δM^2, which are related to the vacuum expectation values of the fields of an extended Higgs sector:

$$M^2_{ZZ'} = \begin{pmatrix} M^2_Z & \delta M^2 \\ \delta M^2 & M^2_{Z'} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3}$$

Here, Z and Z' denote the weak gauge boson eigenstates of $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ and of the extra $U(1)'$, respectively. The mass eigenstates, Z_1 and Z_2, diagonalizing the matrix (3), are then obtained by the rotation of the fields Z and Z':

$$Z_1 = Z\cos\phi + Z'\sin\phi \,, \tag{4a}$$

$$Z_2 = -Z\sin\phi + Z'\cos\phi \,. \tag{4b}$$

Here, the mixing angle ϕ is expressed in terms of masses as:

$$\tan^2\phi = \frac{M^2_Z - M^2_1}{M^2_2 - M^2_Z} \simeq \frac{2M_Z\Delta M}{M^2_2} \,, \tag{5}$$

where $\Delta M = M_Z - M_1 > 0$, M_Z being the mass of the Z_1 boson in the absence of mixing, i.e., for $\phi = 0$. Once we assume the mass M_1 to be determined experimentally, the mixing depends on two free parameters, which we identify as ϕ and M_2.

From (4), one obtains the vector and axial-vector couplings of the Z_1 and Z_2 bosons to fermions:

$$v_{1f} = v_f\cos\phi + v'_f\sin\phi \,,\ a_{1f} = a_f\cos\phi + a'_f\sin\phi \,, \tag{6a}$$

$$v_{2f} = v'_f\cos\phi - v_f\sin\phi \,,\ a_{2f} = a'_f\cos\phi - a_f\sin\phi \,, \tag{6b}$$

with $(v_f, a_f) = (g^f_L \pm g^f_R)/2$, and (v'_f, a'_f) similarly defined in terms of the Z' couplings. The fermionic Z' couplings can be found, e.g. in Andreev et al. (2012).

Analogously, one obtains according to the remarks above:

$$g_{WWZ_1} = \cos\phi\, g_{WWZ} \,, \tag{7a}$$

$$g_{WWZ_2} = -\sin\phi\, g_{WWZ} \,, \tag{7b}$$

where $g_{WWZ} = \cot\theta_W$.

3 Cross section

The parton model cross section for the process (2) from initial quark–antiquark states can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q\bar{q}}}{dM\,dy\,dz} = K\frac{2M}{s}\sum_q [f_{q|P_1}(\xi_1)f_{\bar{q}|P_2}(\xi_2) + f_{\bar{q}|P_1}(\xi_1)f_{q|P_2}(\xi_2)]\,\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}}{dz} \,. \tag{8}$$

Here, s is the proton–proton center-of-mass energy squared; $z = \cos\theta$ with θ the W^--boson–quark angle in the W^+W^- center-of-mass frame; y is the diboson rapidity; as well as $f_{q|P_1}(\xi_1, M)$ and $f_{\bar{q}|P_2}(\xi_2, M)$ are parton distribution functions in the protons P_1 and P_2, respectively, with $\xi_{1,2} = (M/\sqrt{s})\exp(\pm y)$ the parton fractional momenta; finally, $d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}/dz$ are the partonic differential cross sections. In (8), the K factor accounts for next-to-leading order QCD contributions. For simplicity, we will use as an approximation a global flat value $K = 1.2$ both for the SM and Z' boson cases. For numerical computation, we use CTEQ-6L1 parton distributions (Pumplin et al., 2002). Since our estimates will be at the Born level, the factorisation scale $\mu_{\rm F}$ enters solely through the parton distribution functions, as the parton-level cross section at this order does not depend on $\mu_{\rm F}$. As regards the scale dependence of the parton distributions we choose for the factorization scale the WW invariant mass, i.e., $\mu_{\rm F}^2 = M^2 = \hat{s}$, with $\hat{s} = \xi_1\,\xi_2\,s$ the parton subprocess c.m. energy squared. We have checked that the obtained constraints presented in the following are not significantly modified when $\mu_{\rm F}$ is varied in the interval $\mu_{\rm F}/2$ to $2\mu_{\rm F}$.

Taking into account the experimental rapidity cut relevant to the LHC experiments, ($Y_{\rm cut} = 2.5$), one should carry out the integration over the phase space in (8) determined as (Andreev et al., 2014; Osland et al., 2008):

$$|y| \le Y = \min\left[\ln(\sqrt{s}/M), Y_{\rm cut}\right] = \ln(\sqrt{s}/M), \tag{9}$$

where we do not consider low masses, $\ln(\sqrt{s}/M) < Y_{\rm cut}$. This leads to a cut in the production angle

$$|z| \le z_{\rm cut} = \min\left[\tanh(Y_{\rm cut} - |y|)/\beta_W, 1\right]\ , \tag{10}$$

where $\beta_W = \sqrt{1 - 4M_W^2/\hat{s}}$ and M_W is the W boson mass.

The resonant Z' production cross section of process (2) needed in order to estimate the expected number of Z' events can be derived from (8) by integrating its right-hand-side over z, the rapidity of the $W^{\pm}$-pair y and invariant mass M around the resonance peak ($M_R - \Delta M/2$, $M_R + \Delta M/2$):

$$\sigma(pp \to W^+W^- + X) = \int_{M_R-\Delta M/2}^{M_R+\Delta M/2} dM \int_{-Y}^{Y} dy \int_{-z_{\rm cut}}^{z_{\rm cut}} dz \frac{d\sigma_{q\bar{q}}}{dM\,dy\,dz}\ . \tag{11}$$

We adopt the parametrization of the experimental mass resolution ΔM in reconstructing the diboson invariant mass of the W^+W^- system, ΔM vs. M. (After integration over y, interference effects vanish.)

The parton level $W^{\pm}$ boson pair production can be described, within the gauge models discussed here, by the subprocesses

$$q\bar{q} \to \gamma, Z_1, Z_2 \to W^+W^-, \tag{12}$$

as well as t- and u-channel amplitudes.

The differential (unpolarized) cross section of process (12) can be written as:

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}}{dz} = \frac{1}{N_C}\,\frac{\beta_W}{32\pi\hat{s}} \sum_{\lambda,\lambda',\tau,\tau'} |F_{\lambda\lambda'\tau\tau'}(\hat{s},\theta)|^2\ . \tag{13}$$

Here, N_C is the number of quark colors; $\lambda = -\lambda' = \pm 1/2$ are the quark helicities; the helicities of the W^- and W^+ are denoted by $\tau, \tau' = \pm 1, 0$. The helicity amplitudes $F_{\lambda\lambda'\tau\tau'}(\hat{s},\theta)$ are summarized in Andreev et al. (2014). There $\hat{s}$, $\hat{t}$, $\hat{u}$ are the

Mandelstam variables defined as $\hat{t} = M_W^2 - \hat{s}(1 - \beta_W z)/2$, $\hat{u} = M_W^2 - \hat{s}(1 + \beta_W z)/2$; $\Gamma_{1,2}$ are $Z_{1,2}$ boson decay widths; $g_{1,f}^{\lambda} = v_{1,f} - 2a_{1,f}\lambda$, $g_{2,f}^{\lambda} = v_{2,f} - 2a_{2,f}\lambda$; and $\gamma_W = \sqrt{\hat{s}}/2M_W$. In the t- and u-channel exchanges we account for the initial $q = u, d, s, c$, only the CKM favoured quarks in the approximation of unity relevant matrix element.

In evaluation of the total width Γ_2 of the Z_2 boson we take into account its decay channels into fermions and $W^{\pm}$ boson pair (Pankov and Paver, 1993):

$$\Gamma_2 = \sum_f \Gamma_2^{ff} + \Gamma_2^{WW} \ . \tag{14}$$

Further contributions of decays involving Higgs and/or gauge bosons and supersymmetric partners (including sfermions), which are not accounted for in (14), could increase Γ_2 by a model-dependent amount typically as large as 50% (Pankov and Paver, 1993). For definiteness the Z_2 width Γ_2 is assumed to scale with the Z_2 mass $\Gamma_2 = (M_2/M_1)\Gamma_1 \approx 0.03\, M_2$. This scaling is what would be expected for the reference model SSM (Benchekroun et al., 2001). The $W^{\pm}$-pair invariant mass distribution $(d\sigma/dM)$ is calculated with the same parton distribution functions and event selection criterion as those used in Agarwal et al. (2010).

4 Constraints on Z'

We focus on the WW production via intermediate Z' and subsequent purely leptonic decay of on-shell W's, that will be probed at LHC:

$$pp \to WW + X \to l\nu l'\nu' + X \quad (l, l' = e \text{ or } \mu), \tag{15}$$

and we follow the analysis given in Andreev et al. (2014) to evaluate the main backgrounds and possible cuts to enhance the Z' signal to background ratio.

In our analysis, we denote by $N_{\rm SM}$ and $N_{Z'}$ the numbers of 'background' and 'signal' events, and we adopt the criterion $N_{Z'} = 2\sqrt{N_{\rm SM}}$ or 3 events, whichever is larger, as the minimum signal for reach at the 95% C.L. Here, the Z' signal can be determined as

$$N_{Z'} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm int} \times \sigma^{Z'} \times P_{\rm surv}^{\rm EW} \times A \times \epsilon^{\ell}, \tag{16}$$

with

$$\sigma^{Z'} = \sigma(pp \to Z') \times {\rm Br}(Z' \to W^+W^- \to l\nu l'\nu'). \tag{17}$$

In Eq. (16), $\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}$ is the time-integrated luminosity, and $A \times \epsilon^{\ell}$ is the product of the overall acceptance times the lepton detection and reconstruction efficiencies where A represents the kinematic and geometric acceptance from the total phase space to the fiducial phase space governed by Eqs. (9) and (10), while ϵ^{ℓ} represents detector effects such as lepton trigger and identification efficiencies. The overall acceptance times the lepton efficiency is $W^{\pm}$ invariant mass dependent and, for simplicity, we take that to be 0.5. The SM background reads:

$$N_{\rm SM} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm int} \left(\sigma_{\rm SM}^{\rm EW}\, P_{\rm surv}^{\rm EW} + \sigma_{\rm SM}^{t\bar{t}}\, P_{\rm surv}^{\rm QCD} \right) A\, \epsilon^{\ell} \approx \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}\, \sigma_{\rm SM}^{\rm EW}\, P_{\rm surv}^{\rm EW}\, A\, \epsilon^{\ell}, \tag{18}$$

where $\sigma_{\rm SM}^{\rm EW}$ is determined by Eqs. (11) and (13) taking into account solely the SM contribution. Also, in the latter expression for $N_{\rm SM}$ we take into account that for heavy $M_{Z'}$, $\sigma_{\rm SM}^{\rm EW} \gg \sigma_{\rm SM}^{t\bar{t}}$.

Table 1 collects our limits on the Z' parameters for the models listed in Section 2. Also shown in Table 1 are the current limits on various Z' boson masses from the LEP2 and Tevatron from studies of diboson W^+W^- pair production. The limits on ϕ and M_2 at the Tevatron assume that no decay channels into exotic fermions or superpartners are open to the Z'. Otherwise, the limits would be moderately weaker. LEP2 constrains virtual and Z–Z' boson mixing effects by the angular distribution of W bosons. Table 1 shows that the limits on ϕ from the EW precision data are generally competitive with and in many cases stronger than those from the colliders, except for the ILC (1 TeV) and LHC (14 TeV) that possess high potential to improve substantially the current bounds on the Z–Z' mixing angle. We stress that these limits are highly complementary.

Table 1 Reach on the Z–Z' mixing angle ϕ at 95% C.L.

Collider, process	$\lvert\phi\rvert\times$	Z'_χ $\lvert\phi\rvert$	Z'_ψ $\lvert\phi\rvert$	Z'_η $\lvert\phi\rvert$	$Z'_{\rm SSM}$ $\lvert\phi\rvert$	$M_{Z'}$ (TeV)
LEP2, $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$	10^{-2}	6	15	50	7	$\geq$1
Tevatron, $p\bar{p} \to W^+W^- + X$	10^{-2}	–	–	–	2	0.4–0.9
Electroweak data	10^{-3}	1.6	1.8	4.7	2.6	–
ILC (0.5 TeV), $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$	10^{-3}	1.5	2.3	1.6	1.2	$\geq$3
ILC (1.0 TeV), $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$	10^{-3}	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.3	$\geq$3
LHC (8 TeV), $pp \to W^+W^- \to l\nu l'\nu'$	10^{-3}	–	–	–	5.2	3
LHC (14 TeV), $pp \to W^+W^- \to l\nu l'\nu'$	10^{-4}	4–8	3–6	3–6	5–9	3–4.5

If a new Z' boson exists in the mass range ∼3–4.5 TeV, its discovery is possible in the Drell–Yan channel. Moreover, the detection of the $Z' \to W^+W^-$ mode is eminently possible and gives valuable information on the Z–Z' mixing. It might be the only mode other than the dileptonic one, $Z' \to l^+l^-$, that is accessible. Our results demonstrate that it might be possible to detect a new heavy Z' boson from the totally leptonic or semileptonic WW channels at the LHC. The LHC at nominal energy and integrated luminosity provides the best opportunity of studying a new heavy Z' through its WW decay mode and creates the possibility of measuring (or constraining) the Z–Z' mixing, thus providing insight into the pattern of symmetry breaking.

Acknowledgments

This research has been partially supported by the Abdus Salam ICTP (TRIL Programme), the Collaborative Research Center SFB676/1-2006 of the DFG at the Department of Physics of the University of Hamburg and the Belarusian Republican Foundation for Fundamental Research.

References

Aad G. et al. (2012) JHEP 11, 138
Aad G. et al. (2013) PLB 718, 860
Aaltonen T. et al. (2010) PRL 104, 241801
Abazov V.M. et al. (2011) PRL 107, 011801
Agarwal N. et al. (2010) PLB 690, 390
Andreev V.V. et al. (2012) EPJC 72, 2147
Andreev V.V., Osland P., Pankov A.A. (2014) PRD 90(5), 055025
Andreev V.V., Pankov A.A. (2012) Phys. Atom. Nucl. 75, 76
Benchekroun D., Driouichi C., Hoummada A. (2001) EPJ. direct C3:N3
Beringer J. et al. Review of Particle Physics. (2012) PRD 86, 010001
Chatrchyan S. et al. (2013) PLB 720, 63
Dittmar M., Nicollerat A., Djouadi A. (2004) PLB 583, 111
Godfrey S., Martin T. (2013) In: Community Summer Study 2013: Snowmass on the Mississippi Minneapolis, USA, ARXIV:1309.1688
Langacker P. (2009) Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1199
Osland P. et al. (2008) PRD 78, 035008
Pankov A.A., Paver N. (1993) PRD 48, 63
Pumplin J. et al. (2002) JHEP 07, 012
Schael S. et al. (2006) PR 427, 257